

# Business Brief 2021: Greece

08-06-2021

Maria Athanassiadou and Fotini Kardiopoulis



Timofeev Vladimir / Shutterstock.com

Greek IP law is adapting to better serve rights holders, report Maria Athanassiadou and Fotini Kardiopoulis of Dr Helen G Papaconstantinou and Partners.

## PATENTS

Inventions are protected in Greece by national or European patents, with a 20-year duration and subject to payment of annual fees. Inventions concerning novel and industrially applicable 3D objec

provide a solution to a technical problem, may be protected as a utility model, with a maximum duration of seven years.

Where the applicant is a Greek national, Patent Cooperation Treaty and European patent applications must be filed through the Industrial Property Organization (OBI). The duration of protection of pharmaceutical inventions, as well as of inventions concerning plant protection products protected in Greece by a national or a European patent, may be extended by the grant of a supplementary protection certificate (SPC).

Information on existing patents in Greece can be found in the official bulletin issued by the OBI as well as on the national patent register. Both are available online.

As a defence against an infringing act, the patent owner may seek a temporary restraining order, which is filed concurrently with a petition for injunctions, requesting the cessation of the infringing act and removal of the infringing products from the market. With a main infringement action, the patent owner may also request compensation and moral damages.

Establishing an efficient watching system for detecting infringing products is key.

Infringement actions can be brought before the competent courts by the patent owner and an exclusive licensee. Co-owners can bring infringement actions independently. The costs for enforcing and defending patents in Greece depend on several factors, such as complexity of the case, duration of proceedings, involvement of technical experts, translation costs.

On March 9 this year, Greek patent law was amended to allow the applicant to expedite the patent examination procedure. Under the new law, applicants can waive their right to make use of the four-month period after filing, which otherwise allows for the late submission of the Greek translation and other supporting documents, provided they have fulfilled all necessary requirements upon filing.

A further amendment now provides patent applicants the right to amend the claims of their applications by limiting the scope of the patent, within a three-month term from receipt of the notification of the search report.

*“Civil courts are now empowered to hear counterclaims for revocation or invalidity of national trademarks.”*

## **TRADEMARKS**

Law 4679/20-3-2020, enacted on March 20, introduced very important changes, including the following:

- Removal of the graphic representation requirement. Obviously, the actual filing of non-traditional marks requires the introduction of the necessary equipment and infrastructure, which must be specified through implementing provisions.
- Abolition of the ex officio examination of relative grounds for refusal.
- The specification of goods must be detailed and precise.



- Certification marks are now available.
- Upgrading of the role of the civil courts in trademark cases. For the first time, civil courts now have jurisdiction to examine the validity of national trademarks. While the Trademarks Committee is still responsible for both opposition and invalidity/revocation cases, appeals relating to invalidity/revocation actions are transferred to the civil courts. Civil courts are now empowered to hear counterclaims for revocation or invalidity of national trademarks, as was already the case in respect of EU trademarks (EUTMs). The respondent may now claim non-use as a defence in infringement proceedings and such a defence may also be raised in the context of preliminary injunctions. The respondent can also file a counter-claim for the temporary invalidity or revocation of the mark in this context, even though the related court decision on this point cannot result in the permanent revocation or invalidation of the trademark. It remains to be seen how successfully such “hybrid jurisdiction” will work in practice.
- Introduction of the intervening rights defence in both infringement and invalidity proceedings.
- Increase of the monetary penalty cautioned for violation of a court decision from €10,000 to €100,000. Said provision may be effective in deterring recidivists.
- Intentional misconduct or gross negligence is required in order for compensation and moral damages to be awarded, in the case of trademark infringement. Said provision appears to favour infringers and is inconsistent with the degrees of negligence required for patent and copyright infringement.


Law 4679/2020 efficiently transposed Directive (EU) 2015/2436, more commonly known as the 2015 Trademarks Directive, in Greece and approximated the treatment of national trademarks to that of EUTMs.

Nevertheless, concerns have been expressed over some of its provisions by practitioners, such as those related to late renewal and rights of third parties acquired during the six-month grace period, the requirement of gross negligence or intentional misconduct for the award of compensation and moral damages, and the hybrid jurisdiction between the administrative authorities/courts and civil courts.

It remains to be seen how effectively and smoothly its provisions will be applied by the judiciary.

## **COUNTERFEITING**

Counterfeiting is a significant problem in Greece: Greece is one of five EU member states accounting for more than 90% of the total detentions of suspected counterfeit goods in the EU internal market, while appearing in the top rankings of both detentions by number of items and estimated value of items detained.

The COVID-19 pandemic created new opportunities for counterfeiters, such as the distribution and sale of counterfeit and substandard healthcare and pharmaceutical products, as well as personal protective equipment. Furthermore, it appears that consumers’ increased reliance on the internet has led to  in burgeoning sales of other fake goods online.

Despite Greek law enforcement authorities being heavily affected by the challenges of the health crisis throughout 2020 and the first months of 2021, the work by Greek Customs has not slowed down and no decrease occurred in the number of seizures of counterfeit goods overall. Since only a fraction of infringing goods imported into Greece is seized by customs, the fight against counterfeiting also demands control and surveillance within the national territory.

Law 4712/2020, enacted on July 29, 2020, aims at laying down a consolidated and strong anti-counterfeiting mechanism through the creation of an inter-agency structure for controlling the internal market (the Interdepartmental Market Control Unit), and also establishes a simplified procedure with respect to the seizure and destruction of counterfeit goods.

It is worth noting that the unit is authorised to impose administrative fines of up to €100,000 on infringers. Since the new law was recently enacted, its effectiveness and potential weaknesses have yet to be evaluated.

*“Greece is one of five EU member states accounting for more than 90% of the total detentions of suspected counterfeit goods in the EU internal market.”*

## **COPYRIGHT**

Among the most important problems in the copyright sphere are the unlicensed sharing of copyright-protected software among multiple computers, as well as unlicensed file-sharing of music and movies on the internet.

Copyright protection is not subject to any formal registration—the work is protected automatically upon its creation and there is no official register for copyright, but Law 4481/2017 provides for a “time-stamping” service by the Hellenic Copyright Organization.

In cases of copyright infringement, civil and criminal proceedings are available. Criminal prosecution takes place *ex officio* and the threatened sanctions are quite severe. Law 4481/2017 includes a number of provisions for enhancing the protection of copyright and related rights on the internet. An important provision of the law, despite the difficulties in its enforcement, introduces a notice and takedown procedure.

## **OTHER DEVELOPMENTS**

Law 4796/2021 transferred responsibility for trademarks to the OBI, which until now was responsible only for patents and industrial designs. This change, institutionally harmonising Greece with the practice of all other countries, aims to simplify procedures and facilitate the formulation of a uniform national policy for all IP rights, and thus contribute to the development of the national economy.

Several legal arrangements are still required, so that the new system becomes fully operational in the near future.



**Maria Athanassiadou** is a partner at Dr Helen G Papaconstantinou and Partners and heads the patent department. She can be contacted at: [m\\_athanasiadou@hplaw.biz](mailto:m_athanasiadou@hplaw.biz)

**Fotini Kardiopoulis** is a partner at Dr Helen G Papaconstantinou and Partners and heads the anti-counterfeiting/anti-piracy department. She can be contacted at: [f\\_kardiopoulis@hplaw.biz](mailto:f_kardiopoulis@hplaw.biz)

## Related Companies

- [Dr. Helen G. Papaconstantinou and Partners](#)

[Go to the Company Directory](#)

X

## Sign up for the newsletter

Receive daily emails from WIPR

